



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,218	01/28/2002	Arne Holmgren	P21480	8453
7055 7	7590 05/25/2004		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			KUMAR, SHAILENDRA	
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI	
			1621	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/926,218	HOLMGREN ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHAILENDRA - KUMAR	1621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The draw been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 insion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ I 36(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to the proposed amendment of the	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	•	(see NOTE below):	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		(SCC IVO I L DCIOW),	·
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	• •	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 13-25.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	P
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		A

SHAILENDRA - KUMAR Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1621

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 13-25 stand rejected as anticiptaed under 35 USC 102(b) over Arteel and obvious under 35 USC 103 over combined tecahings of Arteel and Muller et al for the reasons of record as set forth dated 12/31/03 and 3/10/03. It is clear that ebselene is a substrate for the thioredoxin reductase, and the function of the reductase to reduce the substrate.